



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP  
ATTN: PATENT GROUP  
SUITE 500  
1200 - 19<sup>TH</sup> STREET, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2402

**COPY MAILED**

**AUG 30 2007**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Mark D. Bednarski et al :  
Application No. 10/681,855 :  
Filed: October 7, 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No. RADO-001/02US :

**ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 4, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.


The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Restriction Requirement, mailed September 22, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month or thirty (30) days (whichever is later). No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 23, 2006.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The person signing the instant petition also requests a change of correspondence address to the address given in the instant petition. There is, however, no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 1614 for further processing.

  
Irvin Dingle  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Richard F. Trecartin  
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105

cc: Cooley Godward Kronish LLP  
3000 El Camino Real  
5 Palo Alto Square  
Palo Alto, CA 94306